21st July 1953]

Permission given to Bharat Salt Works, Visakhapatnam, for salt manufacture.

* 791 *Q.*—SRI M. VEERABHADRAM: Will the Hon. the Minister for Land Revenue be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether it is a fact that the District Collector, Visakhapatnam, gave permission to Bharat Salt Works, Visakhapatnam, to manufacture salt in the swamp area supposed to be within the limits of Chipurupalli estate in Anakapalle taluk; and

(*b*) if so, whether he satisfied himself that the swamp area is within the limits of Chipurupalli estate?

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER:—" (*a*) Yes. The Collector gave the permission to Bharat Mining and Company, Visakhapatnam.

" (*b*) The permission given by the Collector concerns lands in Cheepurupali village only."

Water-supply schemes in Madras City.

* 792 *Q.*—SRI S. VEMIAH: Will the Hon. the Minister for Health be pleased to state whether 16 water-supply schemes were submitted to Government by the Corporation of Madras and how many of them have been approved by the Government of Madras and included in the Five-Year Plan? 9-20 a.m.

THE HON. SRI A. B. SHETTY:—" Two statements[a] one showing the list of water-supply schemes already sanctioned by Government and taken up for execution and the other showing the list of new schemes proposed to be taken up in the five year period from 1951–52 to 1955–56 as forwarded by the Corporation of Madras are placed on the table of the House. Of the schemes mentioned in Statement II, items 2 and 4 have been sanctioned by Government and item 3 is under the consideration of the Government."

SRI K. VINAYAKAM:—" Are the Government aware of the fact that whenever the Corporation applies to them for provision of more taps in the western and northern portions of the City, the Secretariat officials, having developed an antipathy for the western and northern portions, always refuse to give permission?"

THE HON. SRI A. B. SHETTY:—" I am not aware of any instances to justify the very uncharitable accusation made by the hon. Member."

Bonus applications in Nellore district.

* 793 *Q.*—SRI B. RAMAKRISHNA REDDI: Will the hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether any bonus applications are still pending disposal in Nellore district; and

(*b*) if so, the reasons therefor?

---

[a] Printed as Appendix III on pages 878—879 infra.

[21st July 1953

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" (*a*) None of the bonus applications received in time is pending disposal in Nellore district.

" (*b*) The question does not arise."

SRI B. RAMAKRISHNA REDDI :—" Sir, my case there. I must be paid Rs. 500."

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" I have answered that none of the bonus applications received in time is pending disposal in Nellore district. I do not know whether the application of the hon. Member comes within the category of applications received out of time."

SRI B. RAMAKRISHNA REDDI :—" May I know the time-limit fixed for the receipt of applications? "

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" The time-limit fixed was 15th June 1952 for the receipt of bonus applications from producers and 15th July 1952 for the receipt of bills from the procuring agents."

SRI K. KRISHNA RAO :—" The question was how many applications were received and at what stage they stood. It is immaterial whether they were received in time or out of time."

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—" It is immaterial to the hon. Member whether they were received in time or out of time. As far as the Government are concerned, they fixed a time limit for the receipt of applications and the amount due has been paid in respect of all applications received with that time."

* 794 *Q.*—

MR. SPEAKER :—" This question will be taken up to-morrow."

Separation of the Judiciary from the Executive.

* 795 *Q.*—SRI M. NARAYANA KURUP : Will the Hon. the Minister for Law be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether there has been any change in the policy of the Government towards the scheme of separation of Judiciary from the Executive; and

(*b*) what are the steps so far taken by the Government in the light of observations made by the Committee that was appointed to go into the working of the scheme of separation of Judiciary from the Executive, to remove the defects and improve the standard of administration of criminal justice?

THE HON. SRI K. P. KUTTIKRISHNAN NAIR :— " (*a*) The hon. Member is referred to the answer given to clause (*b*) of his starred question No. 193 (593) on the 26th March 1953. There has been no change in the policy.